

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 4328 OF 2004

Jitendra @ Jitu Rambrij Bharati ..Applicant
versus

The State of Maharashtra ..Respondents

Mr. D. V. Sutar for the Applicant.

Mr. K. V. Saste, A.P.P. for the Respondents.

CORAM : A.M. KHANWILKAR, J.

DATE : 20TH OCTOBER 2004.

P.C. :

1. Heard counsel for the parties. Perused the record. Learned APP fairly accepts that the present applicant who is accused No.4 deserves to be enlarged on bail on parity with other accused who are already enlarged on bail. The only role attributed to him is that he assaulted Iraj Khan with fists blows.

2. In the circumstances, the applicant is released on bail on the following conditions:

(a) The applicant is enlarged on bail in connection with C.R. No. I-115/2003 registered with Manpada Police Station

(Sessions Case No. 231 of 2003), on executing personal bond in the sum of Rs.20,000/= (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) with one or two local sureties in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial court.

(b) The applicant shall inform his place of residence where he intends to reside after his release within one week from the date of his release to the Investigating Officer as well as to the Registrar of the trial court.

(c) The Applicant shall report to the nearest police station where he would reside once in a week on Saturday between 10 a.m. to 1 p.m. till the conclusion of the trial.

(d) The applicant shall not influence the prosecution witnesses or tamper with the prosecution evidence in any manner whatsoever.

(e) The applicant shall extend full co-operation to the trial court for early disposal of the case. In the event the trial court is of the opinion that the applicant is responsible for obstructing the smooth progress of the trial, that fact be recorded in the roznama which can be a ground for cancellation of bail.

Application disposed of accordingly.

A.M. KHANWILKAR, J.